

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/524,942	03/14/2000	David J. McDonnell	042390.P6357C	8992	
7	590 06/03/2003				
0			EXAMI	NER	
12400 Wilshire	09/524,942 03/14/2000		ELMORE,	ELMORE, REBA I	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,,			2187		
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003	1)	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



			1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/524,942	MCDONNELL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Reba I. Elmore	2187	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statt - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may eply within the statutory minimum of the dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) Mute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communi ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	5 May 2003 .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ 1	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under			rits is
Disposition of Claims	,	,	
4) Claim(s) 13-44 is/are pending in the application	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	rawn from consideration.	•	•
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		·	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-44</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	or election requirement.		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in r	reply to this Office action.		
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority documer 	nts have been received.		
Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in	Application No	
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		•
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes			cation)
a) The translation of the foreign language p	rovisional application has	been received.	cation).
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes Attachment(s)	suc priority under 35 U.S.(29 120 and/or 121.	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Patent and Trademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 09/524,942

Art Unit: 2187

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 13-44 are presented for examination.

Specification

2. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

35 USC 102

- 3. The rejection of claims 13-24 and 28-44 as being anticipated by Martin et al. is *maintained* from the previous office action and repeated below.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 5. Claims 13-24 and 28-44 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Martin et al.

Martin teaches the present invention (claims 13, 23 and 37) as claimed including an apparatus and method, the apparatus and method comprising:

a host side memory controller region having a memory access request input and a memory command packet chunk output being taught as a command packet, CDN, from the command bus (e.g., see col. 5, line 65 to col. 6, line 12), a memory command packet chunk being a portion of a memory command packet, the host side memory controller region clocked by a

Application/Control Number: 09/524,942

Art Unit: 2187

first clock being taught as a memory controller located on the processor side of the memory subsystem (e.g., see col. 1, line 9 to col. 2, line 54);

a memory side memory controller region having a memory command packet chunk input coupled to the memory command packet chunk output, the memory side memory controller region clocked by a second clock with the operating speed of the memory devices being different from the processor operating speed (e.g., see col. 1, line 51 to col. 2, line 5);

the second clock different than the first clock with a first and second clock being taught as a master clock signal and a data clock signal (e.g., see col. 2, lines 55-62); and,

a memory coupled to the memory side region (e.g., see Figure 3, elements 80a-80h).

As to claim 14, Martin teaches the memory command packet chunk output further comprises a row output and a column output as separate row latches and column latches (e.g., see col. 5, line 55 to col. 6, line 27).

As to claims 15 and 29, Martin teaches the host side memory controller region further comprises a scheduler coupled to the memory access request input with the scheduler being equivalent to a sequencer for sequencing the output of the command latches, the scheduler configured to generate the memory command packet (e.g., see col. 5, line 55 to col. 6, line 27).

As to claims 16 and 30, Martin teaches the scheduler is coupled to a queue as such a system using read and write buffers (e.g., see col.35, line 32 to col. 4, line 21).

As to claims 17, 31 and 39-41, Martin teaches the memory command packet is a row command to activate a memory row by pre-charging the memory row (e.g., see col. 7, lines 18-31).

As to claims 18, 32 and 42-44, Martin teaches the memory command packet is a column command to read or write to/from the memory device (e.g., see col. 7, lines 18-31).

Application/Control Number: 09/524,942 Page 4

Art Unit: 2187

As to claims 19 and 33, Martin teaches the scheduler further comprises logic to determine when resource conflicts in that circuitry is present to resolve latching a defective column by latching a redundant column (e.g., see col. 4, line 55 to col. 5, line 35).

As to claims 20 and 34, Martin teaches the host side memory controller region further comprises a second memory command packet chunk output (e.g., see col. 5, line 55 to col. 6, line 27).

As to claims 21 and 35, Martin teaches the second clock is faster than the first clock as the processing speed being much faster than the speed of the memory banks (e.g., see Figure 2).

As to claims 22 and 36, Martin teaches the host side memory controller region is configured to present a second memory command packet chunk upon the second memory command packet chunk output, the second memory command packet chunk a portion of a second memory command packet (e.g., see col. 5, line 55 to col. 6, line 27).

As to claim 24, Martin teaches an external agent configured to read and write to the memory via the memory controller (e.g., see col. 5, line 55 to col. 6, line 27).

As to claim 28, Martin teaches the memory command packet chunk output further comprises a row output and a column output (e.g., see Figure 2).

35 USC 103

- 6. The rejection of claims 25-27 as being unpatentable over Martin et al. is *maintained* from the previous office action and repeated below.
- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/524,942

Art Unit: 2187

8. Claims 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martin et al.

Martin teaches the independent and intervening claims as given above. Martin does not specifically teach an external agent connected to the memory subsystem having a processor, graphics subsystem and/or an expansion bus master, however, these types of components are common well known elements to be connected to a memory subsystem and official notice is taken thereof. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an external agent having a processor, a graphics subsystem and/or an expansion bus master because these components connected to a memory subsystem provide a wide variety of available usability and lets a user perform even more tasks. An external agent which would be connected to the memory subsystem would normally have a processor and a graphics subsystem to provide flexibility which is desirable for a multitude of computer driven activities. An expansion bus provides additional I/O support which is also desirable in computer systems.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reba I. Elmore, whose telephone number is (703) 305-9706. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH from 7:30am to 6:00pm, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the art unit supervisor for AU 2187, Donald Sparks, can be reached for general questions concerning this application at (703) 308-1756. Additionally, the official fax phone number for the art unit is (703) 746-7239.

Art Unit: 2187

The after-final fax phone number for the art unit is (703) 746-7238. The fax phone number for drafts or non-official communications is (703) 746-7240.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Tech Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800/4700.

Reba I. Elmore

Primary Patent Examiner

Rha I. Elm

Art Unit 2187

June 2, 2003